

Philip Isbell – Chief Planning Officer
Sustainable Communities

Mid Suffolk District Council
Endeavour House, 8 Russell Road, Ipswich IP1 2BX

Website: www.midsuffolk.gov.uk



REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990
THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE) (ENGLAND)
ORDER 2015

Correspondence Address:

SJB Designs
Cherry Tree Cottage
Hitcham Road
WATTISHAM
IP7 7LD

Applicant:

Hartbuild Ltd
C/O Agent

Date Application Received: 22-Jan-20

Application Reference: DC/20/00263

Date Registered: 23-Jan-20

Proposal & Location of Development:

Full Planning Application - Erection of 1no. two storey dwelling with attached garage

Land At Rear Of Cedar Cottage, The Street, Occold, Suffolk

Section A – Plans & Documents:

This decision refers to drawing no./entitled Drg no: 437/SL1 at 1:1250 received 22/01/2020 as the defined red line plan with the site shown edged red. Any other drawing showing land edged red whether as part of another document or as a separate plan/drawing has not been accepted or treated as the defined application site for the purposes of this decision.

The plans and documents recorded below are those upon which this decision has been reached:

Defined Red Line Plan Drg no: 437/SL1 at 1:1250 - Received 22/01/2020

Site Location Plan 437/SL1 - Received 22/01/2020

Block Plan - Proposed 437/01B - Received 21/04/2020

Proposed Plans and Elevations 437/02C - Received 21/04/2020

Topographic Survey 22278/001 - Received 22/01/2020

Topographic Survey 22278/002 - Received 22/01/2020

Land Contamination Assessment - Received 22/01/2020

Section B:

Mid Suffolk District Council as Local Planning Authority, hereby give notice that **PLANNING PERMISSION HAS BEEN REFUSED** for the development proposed in the application in accordance with the particulars and plans listed in section A for the following reasons:

1. The proposed dwelling, if approved, would represent an overly dominate development out of scale with the character of the prevailing existing dwellings, with particular harmful impact on the rural setting and enjoyment of occupiers (including impact on their privacy) of Cedar Cottage a non designated heritage asset. Furthermore, the detrimental, intrusive impact and harm on amenity is made worst by the position and scale of the development on higher land compared to existing development. On this basis the development is considered contrary to policy H16, H13, H15, Gp1, HB1 of the local plan, FC1 and 1.1 of the focus review as well as contrary to chapter 12 of the NPPF, with particular reference to P127 and 130. The limited public benefit of one dwelling is not considered to outweigh the harm identified.
2. The proposed development being in excess of 0.17ha has not sought to secure on site or a contribution towards affordable housing as required under policy Altered H4 of the Local Plan 1998. On this basis the proposal is in conflict with this policy and this is a further reason for refusal.

SUMMARY OF POLICIES WHICH ARE RELEVANT TO THE DECISION:

GP01 - Design and layout of development
HB01 - Protection of historic buildings
H03 - Housing development in villages
H13 - Design and layout of housing development
H15 - Development to reflect local characteristics
H16 - Protecting existing residential amenity
H17 - Keeping residential development away from pollution
CL08 - Protecting wildlife habitats
T09 - Parking Standards
T10 - Highway Considerations in Development
CS01 - Settlement Hierarchy
CS02 - Development in the Countryside & Countryside Villages
CS05 - Mid Suffolk's Environment
FC01 - Presumption In Favour Of Sustainable Development
FC01_1 - Mid Suffolk Approach To Delivering Sustainable Development
NPPF - National Planning Policy Framework

NOTES:

1. **Statement of positive and proactive working in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)**

The proposal has been assessed with regard to adopted development plan policies, the National Planning Policy Framework and all other material considerations. The NPPF encourages a positive and proactive approach to decision taking, delivery of sustainable development, achievement of high quality development and working proactively to secure developments that improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. In this case the applicant took advantage of the Council's pre-application service prior to making the application. The opportunity to discuss a proposal prior to making an application allows potential issues to be raised and addressed pro-actively at an early

stage, potentially allowing the Council to make a favourable determination for a greater proportion of applications than if no such service was available.

Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils have adopted Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) charging which affects planning permissions granted on or after 11th April 2016 and permitted development commenced on or after 11th April 2016. If your development is for the erection of a new building, annex or extension or the change of use of a building over 100sqm in internal area or the creation of a new dwelling or holiday let of any size your development may be liable to pay CIL and you must submit relevant documents to our Infrastructure Team telling us more about your development, who will pay CIL and when the development will start. You will receive advice on the amount you have to pay and what you have to do and you can find more information about CIL on our websites here:

[CIL in Babergh](#) and [CIL in Mid Suffolk](#) or by contacting the Infrastructure Team on: infrastructure@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk

This relates to document reference: DC/20/00263

Signed: Philip Isbell

Dated: 8th July 2020

**Chief Planning Officer
Sustainable Communities**

Appeals to the Secretary of State

1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the Local Planning Authority to refuse permission or consent, or to grant permission or consent subject to condition, they may appeal to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. The applicant's right of appeal is in accordance with the appropriate statutory provisions which follow:

Planning Applications: Section 78 Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Listed Building Applications: Section 20 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

Advertisement Applications: Section 78 Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Regulation 15

Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 2007

Notice of appeal in the case of applications for advertisement consent must be served within eight weeks of receipt of this notice. Notice of Householder and Minor Commercial Appeals must be served within 12 weeks, in all other cases, notice of appeal must be served within six months of this notice. If this is a decision on a planning application relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as is already the subject of an enforcement notice, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision on your application, then you must do so within 28 days of the date of this notice. If an enforcement notice is served relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as in your application and if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision on your application, then you must do so within: 28 days of the date of service of the enforcement notice, or within six months of the date of this notice, whichever period expires earlier.

Appeals must be made on a form which is obtainable from The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN or online at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/modelnotification-notice-to-be-sent-to-an-applicant-when-permission-is-refused>

The Secretary of State has power to allow a longer period for the giving of a notice of appeal but he/she will not normally be prepared to exercise this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State is not required to entertain an appeal if it appears to him/her that permission for the proposed development could not have been granted by the Local Planning Authority, or could not have been so granted otherwise than subject to the conditions imposed by it, having regard to the statutory requirements*, to the provisions of the Development Order, and to any directions given under the Order. The Secretary of State does not in practice refuse to entertain appeals solely because the decision of the Local Planning Authority was based on a direction given by him/her.

2. If permission or consent to develop land or carry out works is refused or granted subject to conditions, whether by the Local Planning Authority or by the Secretary of State and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonable beneficial use by the carrying out of any development or works which has been or would be permitted they may serve on the Council of the district in which the land is situated, a purchase notice requiring the Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Section 137 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 or Section 32 Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

*The statutory requirements are those set out in Section 79(6) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, namely Sections 70 and 72(1) of the Act.